

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,749 06/25/2001		Jordan L. Holtzman	11909.1USWO	2030	
23552 7.	590 04/23/2003				
MERCHANT & GOULD PC		EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647		

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/830,749

Applicant(s)

Holtzman

Examiner

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1647



٠.	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address		
•	for Reply			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM					
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	i no event, however, n	nay a reply l	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	44	
_	date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	he statutory minimum	ı of thirty (3	(iii) davs will be considered timely.	4	
If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	and will expire SIX (6)	MONTHS f	from the mailing date of this communication.	. • •	
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t					
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		-		. :	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 27, 2</u>				•	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	tion is non-final	J.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.			•	•	
Disposit	tion of Claims					
· 4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-37			is/are pending in the applicatio	'n.	
, . 4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 4-37		<u> </u>	is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		4	is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims 1-37	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requi	rement.	
	tion Papers	•		5.		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			:		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	ed or b)[objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on				Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	**		•	_	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			,	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				•	
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	i U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗀	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			•		
•	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	:d.			
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d in App	olication No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	, •		
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copi	es not re	eceived.		
14)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).		
a) [у така така така така така така така так					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.(C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachme				•		
$\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur				
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Domation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		omal Patent	rt Application (PTO-152)		
2) DCI 11110	mation disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Li Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/830749 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-3; as it relates to animal *B*-amyloid 1-42) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "a search could be efficiently done encompassing Groups I-V without burdening the Examiner", and that all groups and claims "form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1". This is not found persuasive because PCT Rule 13 does not provide for multiple products or methods within a single application, in which each of the separable groups require their own unique products, and/or involve different methods with different goals and/or starting materials and/or protocols. Moreover, because the special technical features of the Group II-V inventions are not present in the Group I claims, unity of invention is lacking, and therefore, would also be an undue search burden on the Examiner for the art recognized distinct subject matter. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 4-37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to

Application/Control Number: 09/830749 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Page 6 of the specification states that "[c]haperones are, in general, well studied and/or characterized proteins", that "[w]ell characterized features of numerous chaperones include the genes encoding them...", and that "[a]s used herein, Q2 refers to any of the common names for this protein, including TPDO-Q2, Erp57, and Grp58 and all naturally occurring variant forms of this protein... [emphasis added]". Page 5 of the specification states that "[a]myloid precursor protein, β -amyloid, and various fragments of β -amyloid have been characterized", that "[k]nown features of amyloid precursor protein and β-amyloid include mammalian genes encoding them", and that " β -Amyloid can be made and/or isolated in a variety of forms [emphasis added]". However, not a single amino acid sequence of any Q2 or β-amyloid protein, nor gene sequence encoding such, is provided in the instant specification. Nor does the specification provide a single reference that defines such; especially as it relates to the genus of "animal" β-amyloid proteins required in the claimed protein complex. In other words, the record is currently devoid of sufficient "[w]ell characterized features" to describe the Q2 and β-amyloid proteins required to make the claimed product "complex comprising Q2 and β-amyloid", thereby, not currently meeting the written description requirements under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 09/830749

Art Unit: 1647

Second, the open-ended definition for "animal" β -amyloid "in a variety of forms" on page 5 of the specification, as well as the open-ended definition on page 6 of the specification for Q2 to encompass "all naturally occurring variant forms of this protein...", fails to allow the skilled artisan to reasonably visualize or predict what critical amino acid residues would structurally characterize the genus of "variant" Q2 or β -amyloid polypeptides, or "animal β -amyloid polypeptides", as encompassed by the claims, because it is unknown and not described what structurally constitutes such generic Q2 or β -amyloid polypeptides. Thus, the written description requirements under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph are further not met.

Applicant is directed toward the Revised Interim Utility and Written Description

Guidelines, Federal Register, Vol.64, No.244, pages 71427-71440, Tuesday December 21, 1999.

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for claims limited to a structurally definable chaperone Q2 and β -amyloid polypeptide complex, does not reasonably provide enablement for any complex comprising biologically functional equivalent forms of Q2 or β -amyloid with no known or recited structural and functional characteristics. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The name, "Q2" or " β -amyloid", alone, does not sufficiently characterize and enable the full scope of the polypeptides encompassed by the current claim language, because the inclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/830749

Art Unit: 1647

of any biological functional equivalent protein, which exists "in a variety of forms" or encompasses "variant forms", etc. within the definition of Q2 or β-amyloid proteins sets forth little structural and functional characteristics. Importantly, the specification does not teach which particular amino acids are critical for a generic Q2 or β-amyloid protein's function; nor how to distinguish O2 or β-amyloid variants encompassed by the instant invention from any different O2- or β-amyloid- related polypeptides that possesses none of the desired functions of the instant invention. Therefore, any such broadly claimed polypeptides without definable structural and functional characteristics, and which alternatively encompass randomly mutated Q2- or βamyloid-related polypeptides, would be expected by the skilled artisan to result in inactive proteins. For example, Rudinger states on page 3 that "it is impossible to attach a unique significance to any residue in a sequence. A given amino acid will not by any means have the same significance in different peptide sequences, or even in different positions of the same sequence". Rudinger further states on page 6 that "the significance of particular amino acid sequences for different aspects of biological activity cannot be predicted a priori but must be determined from case to case by painstaking experimental study". Therefore, the lack of guidance provided in the specification as to what minimal structural requirements are necessary for a functional Q2 or β-amyloid polypeptide complex would prevent the skilled artisan from knowing how to make and use the instant invention, especially as it relates to determining whether any random modification or mutation to such polypeptides could be made which retains the desired function of the instant invention, because any random mutation or modification

Art Unit: 1647

manifested within a Q2 or β -amyloid protein would be predicted to adversely alter the biologically active 3-dimensional conformation of such, without requiring undue experimentation to determine otherwise.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 305-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and alternate Fridays, from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

April 17, 2003

GARY KUNZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600